

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/957,045

Applicant(s)
Daluge

Examiner
Mark L. Berch

Group Art Unit
1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark L. Berch

(3) _____

(2) Mark Milstead

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 30, 2001

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Glycosidic bond is the one to the carbon from the N-of-purine and aps will consider how to clearly set forth what is excluded. On point 3, aps will need to present evidence how the term "phosphonyl" would be understood, especially as P(O)(OH)2 is derived from phosphoric acid. For heterocyclic, giving size of ring and identifying which heteroatoms can be present will suffice. For "carbocyclic group" this can be solved with "carbocycle".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



MARK L. BERCH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1624

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.